1	WHEREAS, in light of certain scheduling conflicts, the Parties have agreed, subject to the
2	approval of the Court, to extend the briefing schedule for Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion to
3	Dismiss and Symantec's Reply in support of its Motion to Dismiss;
4	WHEREAS, Plaintiff shall have until July 25, 2013, to file her Opposition to Symantec's
5	Motion to Dismiss;
6	WHEREAS, Symantec shall have until August 15, 2013, to file its Reply in support of its
7	Motion to Dismiss;
8	WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed that, subject to the Court's availability, Symantec's
9	Motion to Dismiss will be heard by the Court on August 29, 2013, at 2:00 p.m.;
10	WHEREAS, there are no other pending dates set by the Court that would be affected by
11	entry of this Order.
12	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED, and respectfully requested
13	that the Court order as follows:
14	1. Plaintiff shall have until July 25, 2013, to file her Opposition to Symantec's Motion to
15	Dismiss; and
16	2. Symantec shall have until August 15, 2013, to file its Reply in support of its Motion to
17	Dismiss.
18	Dated: June 26, 2013 By /s/ Tyler G. Newby
19	Laurence F. Pulgram (CBS No. 115163) lpulgram@fenwick.com
20	Tyler G. Newby (CSB No. 205790) tnewby@fenwick.com
21	Leslie A. Kramer (CSB No. 253313)
22	lkramer@fenwick.com Molly R. Melcher (CSB NO. 272950)
23	mmelcher@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP
24	555 California Street, 12th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104
25	Ph: (415) 875-2300
26	Fax: (415) 281-1350
27	Attorneys for Defendant Symantec Corp.
28	
- 1	

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation, it is SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 27, 2013

Honorable Jon S. Tigar United States District Judge